

Can Parity Violation in Neutrino Transport Lead to Pulsar Kicks?

Phil Arras^{1,2} and Dong Lai^{1,3}

¹Center for Radiophysics and Space Research, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

²Department of Physics, Cornell University

³Department of Astronomy, Cornell University

(February 1, 2008)

In magnetized proto-neutron stars, neutrino cross sections depend asymmetrically on the neutrino momenta due to parity violation. However, these asymmetric opacities do not induce any asymmetric flux in the bulk interior of the star where neutrinos are nearly in thermal equilibrium. Consequently, parity violation in neutrino absorption and scattering can only give rise to asymmetric neutrino flux above the neutrino-matter decoupling layer. The kick velocity is substantially reduced from previous estimates, requiring a dipole field $B \sim 10^{16}$ G to get v_{kick} of order a few hundred km s^{-1} .

PACS Numbers: 97.80.Bw, 11.30.Er, 95.30.Cq

Recent analyses of pulsar proper motion [1] indicate that neutron stars receive large kick velocities at birth ($v_{\text{kick}} = 200 - 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ on average, with possibly a significant population having velocity $\gtrsim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). Evidence for high velocity neutron stars has also come from observations of pulsar bow shocks [2] and studies of pulsar-supernova remnant associations [3]. Support for natal kicks has come from the detection of geodetic precession in the binary pulsar PSR 1913+16 [4], and orbital precession in the PSR J0045-7319 binary and its fast orbital decay [5]. In addition, evolutionary studies of the neutron star binary population imply the existence of pulsar kicks [6], and observations of nearby supernovae and supernova remnants also support the notion that supernova explosions are not spherically symmetric.

Mechanisms for the pulsar kicks may be divided into two classes. The first class relies on hydrodynamical instabilities in the proto-neutron star [7] and nonspherical perturbations in the precollapse core [8]. The asymmetries in the density and temperature distributions naturally lead to asymmetric matter ejection and/or asymmetric neutrino emission. In this paper, we are concerned with the second class of models, where the kicks arise from asymmetric neutrino emission induced by strong magnetic fields. The fractional asymmetry α in the radiated neutrino energy required is $\alpha = M v_{\text{kick}} c / E_{\text{tot}}$ ($= 0.028$ for $v_{\text{kick}} = 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, neutron star mass $M = 1.4 M_{\odot}$ and total neutrino energy radiated $E_{\text{tot}} = 3 \times 10^{53} \text{ erg}$).

A number of authors have noted that parity violation in weak interactions can lead to asymmetric neutrino emission from proto-neutron stars [9–11]. It has recently been suggested [12] that the asymmetry in neutrino emission may be enhanced due to multiple scatterings of neutrinos by nucleons which are slightly polarized by the magnetic field. Initial neutrino cooling calculations [13,14] in magnetic fields appeared to indicate that a dipole field of order 10^{14} G is needed to produce kick

velocity of 200 km s^{-1} . These results [12–14] are wrong. Indeed, as we show in this paper, although the neutrino scattering cross-section is asymmetric, detailed balance requires that there be no cumulative effect associated with multiple scatterings in the bulk interior of the star where thermal equilibrium is maintained to a good approximation. Moreover, we derive an explicit expression for the neutrino flux in a magnetic field. In addition to the usual diffusive flux, there is a drift flux (along the magnetic field) which is proportional to the *deviation* of neutrino distribution from equilibrium. Hence parity violation can only induce an asymmetric neutrino flux near the surface of the star.

Our starting point is the Boltzmann transport equation for neutrinos (of a given species):

$$\frac{\partial f_{\nu}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \nabla f_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) = \left[\frac{\partial f_{\nu}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{sc}} + \left[\frac{\partial f_{\nu}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{abs}} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{k} = k\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the neutrino momentum ($\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is a unit vector), $f_{\nu}(\mathbf{k})$ is the neutrino distribution function (the position and time dependence are suppressed), and the scattering and absorption/emission collision terms are included on the right-hand side of the equation (we set $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$ throughout the paper). In this paper we concentrate on neutrino scattering by nucleons ($\nu + N \rightarrow \nu + N$) and electron neutrino absorption/emission from the processes $n + \nu_e \rightleftharpoons p + e^-$, $p + \bar{\nu}_e \rightleftharpoons n + e^+$. Other processes can be similarly considered, but are less important.

First we examine the scattering rate:

$$\left[\frac{\partial f_{\nu}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{sc}} = \sum_{ss'} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} W_{i \rightarrow f}^{(sc)} \times \left[(1 - f_{\nu})(1 - f_N) f'_N f'_{\nu} - f_{\nu} f_N (1 - f'_N)(1 - f'_{\nu}) \right], \quad (2)$$

where $W_{i \rightarrow f}^{(sc)} = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P + K - P' - K') |M_{ss'}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}')|^2$ is S-matrix squared divided by time, P and K (P' and

K') are the 4-momenta of the initial (final) nucleon and neutrino, $f'_\nu \equiv f_\nu(\mathbf{k}')$ is the final state neutrino distribution function and f_N and f'_N are the initial and final state nucleon distribution functions, respectively. Note that the energy of (nonrelativistic) nucleons includes the spin energy, $-s\mu_m B$ (where $s = \pm 1$ is the spin, μ_m is the magnetic moment) [15]. In writing down eq. (2) we have implicitly used the relation $W_{i \rightarrow f}^{(\text{sc})} = W_{f \rightarrow i}^{(\text{sc})}$, reflecting the time reversal symmetry of the weak interaction.

In the bulk interior of the proto-neutron star, neutrinos are to a good approximation in thermal equilibrium, with $f_\nu \simeq f_\nu^{(0)}$ (the Fermi-Dirac function). Using the explicit forms for the neutrino and nucleon distribution functions, together with energy conservation, we can verify the equality

$$(1 - f_\nu^{(0)})(1 - f_N)f'_N f_\nu^{(0)} = f_\nu^{(0)} f_N (1 - f'_N)(1 - f_\nu^{(0)}), \quad (3)$$

representing detailed balance in thermal equilibrium. Therefore the only nonzero contribution to $(\partial f_\nu / \partial t)_{\text{sc}}$ must be proportional to the deviation from thermal equilibrium; there can be no drift flux along the magnetic field proportional to $f_\nu^{(0)}$.

We now proceed to derive an expression for the neutrino flux. Using the Fermi-Dirac distribution functions of nucleons, we can write the scattering rate (2) in the form

$$\left[\frac{\partial f_\nu(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{sc}} = \int_0^\infty dk' \int d\Omega' \frac{d\Gamma}{dk' d\Omega'} \times \left[e^{-q_0/T} (1 - f_\nu) f'_\nu - f_\nu (1 - f'_\nu) \right] \quad (4)$$

where $q_0 = k - k'$ is the energy transfer, $d\Gamma/dk' d\Omega'$ is the differential cross-section (per unit volume) for scattering, as given by

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dk' d\Omega'} = \frac{k'^2}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{ss'} |M_{ss'}(\mathbf{\Omega}, \mathbf{\Omega}')|^2 S_{ss'}(q_0, q) \quad (5)$$

and the “nucleon response function” is defined to be

$$S_{ss'}(q_0, q) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P + K - P' - K') f_N(1 - f'_N), \quad (6)$$

where $q \equiv |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'|$. Note that it is essential to retain the inelasticity in eq. (4) in order to derive the neutrino drift flux. To lowest order in $1/m_N$, the matrix element for $\nu - N$ scattering [16], $|M_{ss'}(\mathbf{\Omega}, \mathbf{\Omega}')|^2$ is given by [17]:

$$|M_{ss'}(\mathbf{\Omega}, \mathbf{\Omega}')|^2 = \frac{1}{2} G_F^2 c_V^2 \left\{ (1 + 3\lambda^2) + (1 - \lambda^2) \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}' + 2\lambda(\lambda + 1)(s\mathbf{\Omega} + s'\mathbf{\Omega}') \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} - 2\lambda(\lambda - 1)(s\mathbf{\Omega}' + s'\mathbf{\Omega}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} + ss' \left[(1 - \lambda^2)(1 + \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}') + 4\lambda^2 \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{\Omega}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \right] \right\} \quad (7)$$

where we have used the interaction Hamiltonian as given in Ref. [18], G_F is the Fermi constant, c_A and c_V are

the effective nucleon coupling constants, and $\lambda = c_A/c_V$. Note that we have not summed over the initial or final state spins since both the nucleon distribution functions and the energy conservation delta function depend on spins. Time-reversal symmetry, $|M_{ss'}(\mathbf{\Omega}, \mathbf{\Omega}')|^2 = |M_{s's}(\mathbf{\Omega}', \mathbf{\Omega})|^2$, can be explicitly verified for the matrix element. The nucleon response function for zero magnetic field has been studied in Ref. [19] and can be directly generalized to the $B \neq 0$ case. Since the nucleon spin energy $\mu_m B$ is much smaller than other characteristic energies we may expand eq. (6) to linear order in B . Combining the expressions for $|M_{ss'}|^2$ and $S_{ss'}$ with eq. (5), we find [17]

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dk' d\Omega'} = A_0(k, k', \mu') + \delta A_+(k, k', \mu') \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} + \delta A_-(k, k', \mu') \mathbf{\Omega}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \quad (8)$$

where $\mu' = \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}'$. In (8), A_0 corresponds to the $\mathbf{B} = 0$ result:

$$A_0(k, k', \mu') = \Lambda \left[(1 + 3\lambda^2) + (1 - \lambda^2) \mu' \right] \times \frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}} \ln \left(\frac{1 + e^{-x_0}}{1 + e^{-x_0 - z}} \right) \quad (9)$$

and the corrections arising from nonzero $\mathbf{B}$ involve the coefficients

$$\delta A_\pm(k, k', \mu') = \Lambda \left(\frac{2\lambda\mu_m B}{T} \right) \frac{1}{(e^{x_0} + 1)} \times \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x_0 - z})} \left(1 \pm \lambda \frac{2m_N q_0}{q^2} \right). \quad (10)$$

where we have defined

$$z = \frac{q_0}{T}, \quad \Lambda = \frac{k'^2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{G_F^2 c_V^2 m_N^2 T}{\pi q}, \quad (11)$$

$$x_0 = \frac{(q_0 - q^2/2m_N)^2}{4T(q^2/2m_N)} - \frac{\mu_N}{T}. \quad (12)$$

The reason for writing the cross section in the form of eq. (8) is that the angular dependence needed to find the moment equations is now manifest. This differential cross section shows the signs of parity violation through the $\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}$ terms. Typically, $\delta A_\pm$ is smaller than A_0 by a factor of order $\mu_m B/T$.

We now examine the macroscopic consequence of the asymmetric cross section in eq.(8). Let [20]

$$f_\nu(\mathbf{k}) = f_\nu^{(0)}(k) + g_\nu(k) + 3\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{h}_\nu(k), \quad (13)$$

where g_ν is the deviation of the spherically symmetric part of f_ν from the Fermi-Dirac value, while $\mathbf{h}_\nu$ is the dipole dependent part which leads to the flux [21]. The first order moment equation is obtained by integrating eq. (1) against $\int d\Omega \mathbf{\Omega}/4\pi$, with the result

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_\nu(k)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{3} \nabla \left[f_\nu^{(0)}(k) + g_\nu(k) \right] = \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \mathbf{\Omega} \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial f_\nu(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{sc}} + \left[\frac{\partial f_\nu(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{abs}} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

The scattering term yields

$$\int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \mathbf{\Omega} \left[\frac{\partial f_\nu(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{sc}} = \mathbf{V}_0(k) + \delta \mathbf{V}_d(k). \quad (15)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_0(k) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dk' \int_{-1}^1 d\mu' A_0(k, k', \mu') \\ \times [\mu' \mathbf{h}_\nu(k') C(k, k') + \mathbf{h}_\nu(k) D(k, k')] \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

leads to the usual $B = 0$ flux and the drift flux arises from the term

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathbf{V}_d(k) = \frac{2\pi}{3} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \int_0^\infty dk' \int_{-1}^1 d\mu' [\delta A_+(k, k', \mu') \\ + \mu' \delta A_-(k, k', \mu')] [g_\nu(k') C(k, k') + g_\nu(k) D(k, k')], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$C(k, k') = e^{-q_0/T} \left(1 - f_\nu^{(0)} \right) + f_\nu^{(0)}, \quad (18)$$

$$D(k, k') = - \left[e^{-q_0/T} f_\nu^{(0)'} + 1 - f_\nu^{(0)'} \right]. \quad (19)$$

Since $g_\nu(k)$ is negligible in the bulk interior of the star, $\delta \mathbf{V}_d$ (and hence the drift flux) is only important outside the neutrino decoupling sphere (outside of which there is no energy exchange between neutrons and matter). In this outer layer, the nucleons are nondegenerate and eqs. (16) and (17) can be evaluated explicitly under the condition $q_0/T \ll 1$. We find

$$\mathbf{V}_0(k) = -\kappa_0^{(\text{sc})} \mathbf{h}_\nu(k), \quad (20)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{V}_d(k) = -\frac{\kappa_0^{(\text{sc})} \epsilon_{\text{sc}}}{3} \left[g_\nu(k) + T \frac{\partial g_\nu(k)}{\partial k} - 2g_\nu(k) f_\nu^{(0)'}(k) \right] \hat{\mathbf{B}} \quad (21)$$

where $\kappa_0^{(\text{sc})}$ is the zero-field scattering opacity (per unit volume), as given by

$$\kappa_0^{(\text{sc})} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left(\frac{G_F c_V k}{2\pi} \right)^2 (1 + 5\lambda^2) n_N, \quad (22)$$

(n_N is nucleon number density), and the asymmetry parameter is given by

$$\epsilon_{\text{sc}} = \frac{6\lambda^2}{(1 + 5\lambda^2)} \frac{\mu_m B}{T} \simeq \frac{\mu_m B}{T}. \quad (23)$$

Note that for nondegenerate nucleons $q_0/T \sim k/(m_N T)^{1/2} \ll 1$. Naively one would expect from eq. (4) or eq. (17) that $\delta \mathbf{V}_d$ would be suppressed by a factor of order q_0/T [22]. However, inspection of eq. (10) shows that $A_\pm$ contains the term $2m_N q_0/q^2$ which can be quite large and has the same parity (around $k' = k$) as q_0/T . The result is that $\delta \mathbf{V}_d$ in eq. (21) is not suppressed by a factor of q_0/T outside the neutrino decoupling sphere.

The zeroth moment of eq. (4) describes the energy exchange between matter and neutrino fields. The asymmetric scattering due to the magnetic field modifies this

equation, but this only affects the rate at which the neutrinos approach equilibrium.

We now consider the effect of asymmetric absorption/emission. For concreteness, we focus on the process $\nu_e + n \rightleftharpoons p + e^-$. The absorption/emission rate can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial f_\nu(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{abs}} = \sum_{s_n s_p} \int \frac{d^3 p_n}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_p}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_e W_{i \rightarrow f}^{(\text{abs})} \\ \times [f_e f_p (1 - f_\nu)(1 - f_n) - f_\nu f_n (1 - f_p)(1 - f_e)], \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where s_n, s_p are the neutron and proton spins, $\sum_e$ stands for summing over the electron phase space [23] and $W^{(\text{abs})} \propto |M^{(\text{abs})}|^2 \delta(E_n + E_\nu - E_p - E_e)$ is the transition rate for absorption (with matrix element $M^{(\text{abs})}$). Expanding $f_\nu(\mathbf{k})$ as in eq. (13), and using the equality

$$\frac{(1 - f_n) f_p f_e}{f_n (1 - f_p)(1 - f_e)} = e^{(\mu_\nu - k)/T}, \quad (25)$$

where $\mu_\nu \equiv \mu_p + \mu_e - \mu_n$, we find

$$\left[\frac{\partial f_\nu(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{abs}} = -[g_\nu(k) + 3\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{h}_\nu(k)] \kappa^{(\text{abs})}, \quad (26)$$

where the absorption opacity is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^{(\text{abs})} = \left[1 + e^{(\mu_\nu - k)/T} \right] \sum_{s_n s_p} \int \frac{d^3 p_n}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_p}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_e W_{i \rightarrow f}^{(\text{abs})} \\ \times f_n (1 - f_p)(1 - f_e). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Calculation [10,17] shows that $\kappa^{(\text{abs})}$ can be expressed in terms of the $B = 0$ opacity $\kappa_0^{(\text{abs})}$ via

$$\kappa^{(\text{abs})} = \kappa_0^{(\text{abs})} (1 + \epsilon_{\text{abs}} \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}). \quad (28)$$

Taking the first moment of eq. (24), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \mathbf{\Omega} \left[\frac{\partial f_\nu(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{abs}} = -\kappa_0^{(\text{abs})} \mathbf{h}_\nu(k) \\ - \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{\text{abs}} \kappa_0^{(\text{abs})} g_\nu(k) \hat{\mathbf{B}}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

This clearly indicates that in the bulk interior of the star, where $g_\nu \simeq 0$, there is no drift flux associated with asymmetric absorption; the asymmetry from neutrino absorption is exactly cancelled by that from emission [24].

Combining eqs. (14), (15), (20), (21), and (29), we find the explicit expression for the neutrino flux in the outer layer of the star:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_\nu(k) = -\frac{1}{3\kappa_0^{(\text{tot})}} \nabla \left[f_\nu^{(0)}(k) + g_\nu(k) \right] - \frac{\epsilon_{\text{abs}} \kappa_0^{(\text{abs})}}{3 \kappa_0^{(\text{tot})}} g_\nu(k) \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\ - \frac{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \kappa_0^{(\text{sc})}}{3 \kappa_0^{(\text{tot})}} \left\{ g_\nu(k) \left[1 - 2f_\nu^{(0)'}(k) \right] + T \frac{\partial g_\nu(k)}{\partial k} \right\} \hat{\mathbf{B}}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\kappa_0^{(\text{tot})}(k) = \kappa_0^{(\text{sc})} + \kappa_0^{(\text{abs})}$, and we have neglected $\partial \mathbf{h}_\nu / \partial t$. Equation (30) clearly shows that the neutrino drift flux (the terms with $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$) is proportional to g_ν , which decreases rapidly with increasing optical depth. This indicates that asymmetric neutrino opacities due to parity violation do not affect neutrino transport in the bulk interior of the star. Asymmetry in neutrino flux can only arise from regions above the neutrino decoupling layer.

Clearly, to determine the asymmetry in neutrino emission from a magnetized proto-neutron star requires knowledge of the temperature profile and the function $g_\nu(k)$ near the stellar surface. In principle, one can use the moment equations to calculate $g_\nu(k)$, but the temperature profile must also be determined self-consistently. A full neutrino transport calculation is beyond the scope of this paper. We now give a rough estimate of the neutrino asymmetry due to the ν_e , $\bar{\nu}_e$ drift flux (the net drift flux associated with μ and τ neutrinos is zero [16]). The asymmetry parameter for scattering is of order $\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \sim 0.006 B_{15}/T$, where B_{15} is the field strength in units of 10^{15} G, and T the temperature in MeV. The asymmetry parameter for absorption, ϵ_{abs} , is dominated for low energy neutrinos ($\lesssim 15$ MeV) by the contribution from electrons in the ground Landau level [10,17]

$$\epsilon_{\text{abs}} \simeq 0.1 \frac{eB}{(E_\nu + Q)^2} \simeq 0.6 B_{15} E_\nu^{-2}, \quad (31)$$

(where E_ν is the neutrino energy in MeV, $Q = 1.29$ MeV), and for high energy neutrinos by nucleon polarization ($\sim \mu_m B/T$). The electron neutrinos decouple from matter near the neutrinosphere, where typical density and temperature are $\rho \sim 10^{12}$ g cm $^{-3}$, and $T \sim 3$ MeV. For a mean ν_e energy of 10 MeV, ϵ_{abs} is greater than ϵ_{sc} . The asymmetry in the ν_e , $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux is approximately given by the ratio of the drift flux and the diffusive flux, of order $\epsilon_{\text{abs}}[\kappa_0^{(\text{abs})}/\kappa_0^{(\text{tot})}]$. Averaging over all neutrino species, we find the total asymmetry in neutrino flux $\alpha \sim 0.2\epsilon_{\text{abs}}$. To generate a kick of a few hundreds per second would require a dipole field of order 10^{16} G.

After our paper was largely completed, a preprint by Kusenko et al. [25] came to our attention, where the authors came to a similar conclusion. However, they only showed that there is no flux in equilibrium, and did not derive an expression for the flux. In addition, they contend that unitarity necessarily implies that the cross section cannot depend asymmetrically on the neutrino momenta, which is false (see eq. (8)).

We thank O. Grimsrud, Y.-Z. Qian, and I. Wasserman for useful discussion. D.L. acknowledges support from the Alfred P. Sloan foundation.

- [1] A.G. Lyne and D.R. Lorimer, *Nature*, **369**, 127 (1994); B.M.S. Hansen and E.S. Phinney, *MNRAS*, **291**, 569 (1997); J.M. Cordes and D.F. Chernoff, *ApJ*, in press (1997).
- [2] J.M. Cordes, R.W. Romani and S.C. Lundgren, *Nature*, **362**, 133 (1993).
- [3] D.A. Frail, W.M. Goss and J.B.Z. Whiteoak, *ApJ*, **437**, 781 (1994).
- [4] J.M. Cordes, I. Wasserman, and M. Blaskiewicz, *ApJ*, **349**, 546 (1990); M. Kramer, *ApJ*, submitted (1998).
- [5] V.M. Kaspi, et al., *Nature*, **381**, 583 (1996); D. Lai, *ApJ*, **466**, L35 (1996).
- [6] e.g., C. Fryer, A. Burrows, and W. Benz, *ApJ*, in press (1998).
- [7] e.g., A. Burrows, J. Hayes and B.A. Fryxell, *ApJ*, **450**, 830; H.-T. Janka and E. Müller, *A&A*, **306**, 167 (1996); M. Herant, et al., *ApJ*, **435**, 339 (1994).
- [8] P. Goldreich, D. Lai and M. Sahriling, in *Unsolved Problems in Astrophysics*, ed. J. N. Bahcall and J. P. Ostriker (Princeton Univ. press); A. Burrows and J. Hayes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 352 (1996).
- [9] N.N. Chugai, *Sov. Astron. Lett.* **10**, 87 (1984).
- [10] O.F. Dorofeev, et al., *Sov. Astron. Lett.* **11**, 123 (1985).
- [11] A. Vilenkin, *ApJ*, **451**, 700 (1995).
- [12] C.J. Horowitz and G. Li, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 3694 (1998).
- [13] D. Lai and Y.-Z. Qian, *ApJ*, **495**, L103 (1998); erratum (July 1, 1998 *ApJ Lett.*).
- [14] H.-T. Janka, in *Proceedings "Neutrino Astrophysics"*, ed. M. Altmann et al. (Tech. Univ. München, Garching, 1998) (astro-ph/9801320).
- [15] We treat the proton's motion as that of a free particle instead of using Landau levels. Since many levels are occupied for the conditions in a proto-neutron star, the effect of level quantization is negligible.
- [16] Equation (7) applies for neutrino. Because of the crossing symmetry, one can obtain the expression for $\bar{\nu}$ by switching Ω and Ω' . Similarly, one switches Ω and Ω' in eq. (8) to obtain the cross section for $\bar{\nu}$.
- [17] P. Arras and D. Lai, to be submitted to *Phys. Rev. D* (1998).
- [18] G. Raffelt, *Stars As Laboratories for Fundamental Physics*, (The Univ. of Chicago Press: Chicago, 1996).
- [19] S. Reddy, M. Prakash and J.M. Lattimer, *astro-ph/9710115*.
- [20] Note that in principle, there is a quadrupole term which contributes to the drift flux. This term is similar to $g_\nu(k)$, although their dependence on optical depth may differ. For simplicity we have neglected the quadrupole term.
- [21] The specific neutrino energy flux $\mathbf{F}_\nu(k)$ is related to $\mathbf{h}_\nu$ by $\mathbf{F}_\nu(k) = k^3 \mathbf{h}_\nu(k)/(2\pi)^3$.
- [22] In eq. (17), since $C(k, k') \simeq 1 + \mathcal{O}(q_0/T)$ and $D(k, k') \simeq -1 + \mathcal{O}(q_0/T)$, we find that $[g_\nu(k')C + g_\nu(k)D]$ is proportional to q_0/T . The same holds for the factor inside the square bracket of eq. (4).
- [23] This includes summing over the Landau levels, spin, and the z -momentum. Note that transverse momentum is not conserved in magnetic fields.
- [24] This was already pointed out in Ref. [13], although Kirchhoff's law was assumed in arriving at this result.

[25] A. Kusenko, G. Segre and A. Vilenkin, astro-ph/9806205.